

# CLIMBING THE SPHINX

THE JOURNEY OF PORTING IT TO ANDROID AND THE DETOURS OF FIXING DESIGN VULNERABILITIES



András Veres-Szentkirályi 2020-08-22



## **András Veres-Szentkirályi**

- ▶ OSCP, GWAPT, SISE
- ▶ Silent Signal co-founder
- ▶ pentester, toolmaker

# Fahrplan



1 The basics

2 Distribution is hard

3 Secure protocol design is hard

4 Final thoughts

# What is SPHINX?



- ▶ EN: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JF-ivzWqha4>
- ▶ HU: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dP-Pnr7pdpM>
- ▶ a password **S**tore that **P**erfectly **H**ides from **I**tself (**N**o **X**aggeration)
- ▶ distributed yet more secure than naïve approaches
- ▶ free software implementation
  - ▶ <https://github.com/stef/libspinx>
  - ▶ <https://github.com/stef/pwdsphinx>

# What did I do?



- ▶ a distributed password manager is worth more if it runs on smartphones
- ▶ ported SPHINX to Android
- ▶ in other words: introduced the first alternate implementation
- ▶ thanks for the funding from NLnet as part of the NGI0 PET fund

# SPHINX basics needed for this talk



From Stef's original SPHINX presentation:

1. user enters password
2. "user" chooses random  $R$
3.  $a = H(pwd)^R$
4. user sends  $a$  to storage
5. storage returns  $b = a^K$
6. user unblinds  $b$  by  $b^{\frac{1}{R}} = H(pwd)^K \Rightarrow$  we'll call this rwd in this talk

# Fahrplan



- 1 The basics
- 2 Distribution is hard
- 3 Secure protocol design is hard
- 4 Final thoughts

# Malicious server tracking users



- ▶ the original version had an Ed25519 key for signing management requests
- ▶ this key was the same for every account they stored
- ▶ a malicious server could link accounts that belong to the same person

```
$ find data -name pub | xargs sha256sum | cut -c 1-64 | sort | uniq -c  
    12 e63a01d67bd96d4607e4643e9122f071523d3b1c1d9f42fbad9790b34127726a  
...
```

# Solution preventing user tracking



- ▶ generate a random 32-byte “master key”
- ▶ use keyed hash to derive seeds for account-specific signing keys
- ▶ easy to generate, hard to correlate

```
$ find data -name pub | xargs sha256sum | cut -c 1-64 | sort -u | wc -l  
1265
```

# Device compromise



- ▶ the original version had an Ed25519 key for signing management requests
- ▶ how do we synchronize this key?

# Device compromise



- ▶ the original version had an Ed25519 key for signing management requests
- ▶ how do we synchronize this key?
  - ▶ QR code offers easy yet secure sharing
  - ▶ for compactness, Base64 and such should be avoided
- ▶ what if the key gets compromised? ⇒ DoS

# Device compromise



- ▶ the original version had an Ed25519 key for signing management requests
- ▶ how do we synchronize this key?
  - ▶ QR code offers easy yet secure sharing
  - ▶ for compactness, Base64 and such should be avoided
- ▶ what if the key gets compromised?  $\Rightarrow$  DoS
  - ▶ what if we include rwd in the computation?
  - ▶ tradeoff: offline brute force possible in case of a compromised/malicious server

# Device compromise – solution



```
fun auth(socket, hostId, rwd = ByteArray(0)) {  
    val nonce = socket.getInputStream().readExactly(AUTH_NONCE_BYTES)  
    socket.getOutputStream().write(getSignKey(hostId, rwd).sign(nonce))  
}  
  
fun getSignKey(id, rwd = ByteArray(0)) =  
    Ed25519PrivateKey.fromSeed(key.foldHash(Context.SIGNING, id, rwd))  
  
fun foldHash(context: Context, vararg messages: ByteArray): ByteArray =  
    context.foldHash(*(listOf(asBytes) + messages.toList()).toTypedArray())  
  
fun foldHash(vararg messages: ByteArray): ByteArray =  
    messages.fold(value.toByteArray(), ::genericHash)
```

# List of usernames



- ▶ the original version stored the list of usernames for each domain locally
- ▶ every device needs R/W access to this list

# List of usernames



- ▶ the original version stored the list of usernames for each domain locally
- ▶ every device needs R/W access to this list
- ▶ solution: (E2EE) BLOB storage
- ▶ no rwd  $\Rightarrow$  no authentication – but I guess it's fine?

- ▶ another solution would be to use OPAQUE for management
- ▶ it could have some nice additional properties
- ▶ but no tradeoff option to avoid brute force

# Fahrplan



- 1 The basics
- 2 Distribution is hard
- 3 Secure protocol design is hard
- 4 Final thoughts

# Encrypted rule – original version



- ▶ problem: rwd is just a bunch of high-entropy bits while we need passwords that fit various policies regarding length and character set
- ▶ original solution: pack character set and length into 16 bits, encrypt this and upload/store/retrieve along with the SPHINX process
- ▶ original protocol runs directly over plain TCP
  - ▶ SPHINX itself doesn't necessarily require encryption
  - ▶ requests are encrypted using the server public key
  - ▶ response contains SPHINX result and E2EE rule

# Encrypted rule – problem and solution

- ▶ this doesn't prevent *tracking which account was requested* when by *eavesdroppers*
- ▶ intermediate solution: convert Ed25519 key to Curve25519 and encrypt the already encrypted rule again by the server
  - ▶ outside asymmetric layer protects against traffic analysis
  - ▶ inside symmetric layer protects against compromised/malicious server

```
43 44     try:
44 45         rule = readf(path+'/' + rule)
45 46     except ValueError:
46 47         return b'fail' # key not found
47 48
49 +   with open(path+'/' + xpub, 'rb') as fd:
50 +       xpk = fd.read()
51 +       rule = pysodium.crypto_box_seal(rule, xpk)
52 +
48 53     try:
49 54         return sphinxlib.respond(chal, secret) + rule
```

# Further problems



- ▶ requests are encrypted using the server's Curve25519 public key
  - ▶ replay attacks are trivial to perform
  - ▶ no forward secrecy
  - ▶ random protocol/port is easier to track and/or block (public Wi-Fi et al)

# Further problems



- ▶ requests are encrypted using the server's Curve25519 public key
  - ▶ replay attacks are trivial to perform
  - ▶ no forward secrecy
  - ▶ random protocol/port is easier to track and/or block (public Wi-Fi et al)
- ▶ TLS solves all of these and is not that much worse
  - ▶ solves replay attacks (c.f. TLS 1.3 0-RTT) and forward secrecy
  - ▶ usually allowed at least on TCP/443 (HTTPS)
  - ▶ PKI makes server public key distribution an optional hardening
- ▶ recent versions are not that different from the original protocol
  - ▶ EC certs are a reality (although CA/B limits this to NIST curves)
  - ▶ ECDHE key exchange supports X25519
  - ▶ ChaCha20-Poly1305 cipher suites exist since RFC 7905
  - ▶ session resumption can improve performance

# Fahrplan



- 1 The basics
- 2 Distribution is hard
- 3 Secure protocol design is hard
- 4 Final thoughts

- ▶ rule could contain a XOR mask that should be applied to rwd before applying the password derivation phase
  - ▶ useful for storing passwords that can't/shouldn't be changed
  - ▶ Android offers standard interface to store CC info, this could be used for that as well
  - ▶ why not BLOB? SPHINX returns a valid-looking answer for every passphrase

- ▶ rule could contain a XOR mask that should be applied to rwd before applying the password derivation phase
  - ▶ useful for storing passwords that can't/shouldn't be changed
  - ▶ Android offers standard interface to store CC info, this could be used for that as well
  - ▶ why not BLOB? SPHINX returns a valid-looking answer for every passphrase
- ▶ current version encrypts rule with integrity protection using a key derived from rwd
  - ▶ since it depends on rwd, there's a reliable method to tell whether the passphrase was right
  - ▶ plausible deniability? on-line brute force?

- ▶ rule could contain a XOR mask that should be applied to rwd before applying the password derivation phase
  - ▶ useful for storing passwords that can't/shouldn't be changed
  - ▶ Android offers standard interface to store CC info, this could be used for that as well
  - ▶ why not BLOB? SPHINX returns a valid-looking answer for every passphrase
- ▶ current version encrypts rule with integrity protection using a key derived from rwd
  - ▶ since it depends on rwd, there's a reliable method to tell whether the passphrase was right
  - ▶ plausible deniability? on-line brute force?
- ▶ better rule encryption plans:
  - ▶ remove explicit integrity protection
  - ▶ remove implicit integrity oracle(s)
  - ▶ add (optional?) “check digit”:  $n$  bits of rwd  $\Rightarrow$  validity oracle with  $P_{FP} = 2^{-n}$

- ▶ source code and binaries under MIT: <https://github.com/dnet/androsphinx>
- ▶ most of it is Kotlin  $\Rightarrow$  iOS port should be easier
- ▶ GUI is kind of complete
- ▶ core functionality WORKSFOR ME
- ▶ pull requests welcome

# THANKS!

**ANDRÁS VERES-SZENTKIRÁLYI**

**vsza@silentsignal.hu**



**facebook.com/silentsignal.hu**



**@SilentSignalHU**



**@dn3t**

